

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,523	09/22/2003	Luc Wolff	PET-2102	5857	
23599 7590 10/16/2007 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			EXAMINER		
			SINGH, PREM C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201	•	1797		
			W.W. D. 777	DELIVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

خات

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/666,523		WOLFF ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Durana C. Cimark	1797	
	Prem C. Singh	1/9/	

•	Prem C. Singh	1797					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leavement. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	od for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. The Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • •	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		· · ·	(1 102 02 1).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>38</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-37 and 39-42</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
			•				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant's proposed addition of new claim 43 would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's proposed addition of new claim 43 would require further consideration and/or search. The Applicant's arguments are most in view of non-entered addition of new claim 43.

Glenn Caldarola Supervisory Patent Examine Fachnology Center 1700